

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/866,663	05/30/2001	Alfred I-Tsung Pan	10006771-1	5133
7:	590 04/28/2003			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			EXAMINER	
			SCALTRITO, DONALD V	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		~				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/866,663	PAN, ALFRED I-TSUNG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Donald V Scaltrito	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	<u> May2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic prionty under 35 U.S.(5. 99 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	" □	O COMPANY (PTO 442) Parasa Na/a)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 14 & 22 are objected to because of the following informalities: The word

deionized is spelled incorrectly as dionized in both claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hockaday

(U.S. Patent No. 5,631,099).

Hockaday discloses a compact, surface replica fuel cell that has a fiber reinforced porous

central membranes and two porous outer membranes wherein a catalyst layer and a metal film

layer are deposited on both sides of the central membrane (note abstract). With respect to Claim

12, Hockaday teaches a series of fuel cells produced on thin, flexible layers that can bend and

form non-planar shapes (see column 4, lines 55-65 of this reference; see also Figure 17).

Hockaday goes on to teach that the electrodes are positioned on each side of the membrane in the

fuel cell and that each electrode comprises a catalyst layer and a thin metal film layer (column 9,

Application/Control Number: 09/866,663

Art Unit: 1746

lines 54–65). Hockaday teaches that the catalyst layer and the think metal film layer are provided with pores to allow for fuel distribution (column 11, lines 12-49). The Examiner would like to point out that the flexible anode and cathode electrodes as disclosed by Hockaday are being interpreted as a first and second flexible substrate that have catalyst and thin metal film layers disposed thereon. The membrane disposed between the anode and cathode is being interpreted as a separation section. With respect to Claim 13, Hockaday teaches that the membrane can be an ion exchange membrane (column 7, lines 35-39). With respect to Claim 14, Hockaday teaches that the membrane can allow for water diffusion (column 13, lines 18-41). With respect to Claim 15, Hockaday teaches that the fuel cell can be formed into a cylindrical shape (Figure 17). With respect to Claims 16 & 17, Hockaday teaches that the interior of the cylindrically shaped fuel cell system contains fuel and that the fuel can be methanol (column 11, lines 50-56).

With respect to Claim 19, Hockaday teaches a means for converting liquid fuel into protons. The first and second means for supporting the liquid fuel converting means is interpreted as the anode and cathode electrodes, which are, as previously explained, flexible substrates. Hockaday teaches that the protons are converted to water molecules and eventually into water vapor (column 13, lines 18-41). With respect to Claim 20, Hockaday teaches a thin metal film layer that is porous and capable of transporting liquid fuel (column 11, lines 12-49). With respect to Claim 21, Hockaday teaches the use of an ion exchange membrane (column 7, lines 35-39). With respect to Claim 22, Hockaday teaches that the membrane can allow for water diffusion (column 13, lines 18-41). The Examiner would like to point out that this is being interpreted as a water channel. With respect to Claim 23, Hockaday teaches a method of making a flexible circuit comprising the steps of: placing a conductive material on a flexible substrate,

Application/Control Number: 09/866,663

Art Unit: 1746

attaching a layer of porous material onto the conductive material and depositing a catalytic layer on the porous material (column 17, lines 36-59). Hockaday also teaches that laser ablation can be used to expose the surface of the flexible circuit (column 7, lines 52-67). With respect to Claim 24, Hockaday teaches the deposition of a hydrophilic coating and the Examiner interprets this as a proton transfer membrane.

This reference, therefore, anticipates Claims 12-17 & 19-24 of the current application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hockaday, as applied to claims 12-24 above, and further in view of Todd et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,197,145).

Hockaday teaches all of the limitations as described above under the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejections section. Hockaday fails to teach or fairly suggest, however, the disposition of a dry film adhesive between two flexible substrates.

Todd et al. teach a method of attaching flexible plastic films having electronic circuitry placed thereon wherein a dry film adhesive is employed. Todd et al. specifically disclose that three flexible substrates were tested with various dry film adhesives (see column 6, line 58 – column 7, line 4 of this reference). Todd et al. teach that using the correct dry film adhesive to

Application/Control Number: 09/866,663

Art Unit: 1746

secure flexible circuits together can lead to an increased reliability and durability of the electronic circuit assemblies (column 3, lines 14-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention as a whole was made to modify the invention of Hockaday by incorporating a dry adhesive film between flexible substrates with electronic circuitry disposed thereon, as taught by Todd et al., because Todd et al. teach that adhering flexible substrates together can lead to increased reliability and durability of electronic circuit assemblies.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art or record fails to teach or fairly suggest flexible substrates that comprise a plurality of openings wherein an anode or cathode electrode is disposed between the flexible substrate and a porous catalytic layer. Furthermore, the prior art or record fails to teach or fairly suggest a fuel side flexible circuit that is disposed in parallel with an air/water side flexible circuit.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Scaltrito, whose telephone number is 703.305.4926. The examiner can be reached in his office on Monday-Friday between the hours of 9am to 6pm.

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, may be reached at 703.308.4333. The official fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.305.3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0661

Donald Scaltrito Patent Examiner Art Unit 1746 April 17, 2003

> RANDY GULAKOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700